

A Graphical Model-Based Representation for PDDL Plans using Category Theory

Angeline Aguinaldo¹, William Regli¹

¹ Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park



"Why is this action in the plan?" Chakraborti 2020





Model-based representation relies only on the solution, domain, and problem model. It is agnostic to the solver.

Τ

String Diagrams from Category Theory







What is category theory?

Category theory is a branch of mathematics under abstract algebra that provides mathematical structures whose properties are attentive to **composition of maps**.

A category (\mathbb{C}) is:

- A set of **objects** {*A*, *B*, *C*, ... }
- A set of **morphisms** {*f*, *g*, *h*, ... } that map objects to objects
 - Where every object has an identity morphism, id_A
- Composition operator, •, between morphisms that is associative and has identity morphisms as unitors









What is category theory?

Category theory is a branch of mathematics under abstract algebra that provides mathematical structures whose properties are attentive to **composition of maps**.

A category (C) is:

- A set of **objects** {*A*, *B*, *C*, ... }
- A set of **morphisms** {*f*, *g*, *h*, ... } that map objects to objects
 - Where every object has an identity morphism, id_A
- Composition operator, •, between morphisms that is associative and has identity morphisms as unitors

A symmetric monoidal category (M), adds:

- + **Tensor product**, ⊗, which is the product of M (objects and morphisms) with itself that is *associative* and has *unitor isomorphisms*
- + Braiding isomorphism where $B_{\{X,Y\}}: X \otimes Y \to Y \otimes X$

A string diagram is the graphical syntax for symmetric monoidal categories, where **boxes are morphisms** and **strings are objects**.











String Diagrams for PDDL



Solution

- Objects are literals
- Morphisms are actions
- Composition (°) chains actions
- Tensor product (⊗) implies parallel actions or conjunction of literals



Deriving linear syntax







ICAPS XAIP 2021 | Aguinaldo, Regli

Deriving linear syntax







ICAPS XAIP 2021 | Aguinaldo, Regli

Deriving linear syntax







Composing actions in PDDL solutions



Composition Steps

- Find common elements in domain and codomain of adjacent morphisms
- 2. Tensor identity morphisms of other strings









Composing actions in PDDL solutions



Composition Steps

- Find common elements in domain and codomain of adjacent morphisms
- 2. Tensor identity morphisms of other strings







Composing actions in PDDL solutions







Observations

- The first *stack* relies on *(holding b)* which is an effect from *pick-up*
- The (clear c) and (ontable c) literals from the initial state do not get referenced until the second pick-up action
- When comparing the strings at the top to the initial state, we see there are no new assumptions introduced
- (ontable a) from the initial state was never used as a pre-condition to an action





Benefits and Limitations

Benefits

- Transferability of skills is a proof-by-construction
- A corresponding graphical syntax for mathematical expressions whose layout is determined by ∘ and ⊗
- Additional context for how parameters populate the predicates
- Deformation invariance property that allows you to slide boxes to find alternate plans



Limitations

- PDDL extensions supported are restricted. We have not defined string diagram encodings for quantifiers, equalities, and other extensions.
- The visualization does not scale effectively to long plans with many actions.





Implemented using Catlab.jl from Algebraic Julia

Autogenerate PDDL String Diagrams

String diagrams are a graphical language used to describe symmetric monoidal categories (SMCs) from category theory. They can be seen as mathematical rigorous expressions to describe processes and their dependencies. In this notebook, we use string diagrams to express the solutions to <u>Planning Domain</u> <u>Definition Language (PDDL)</u> problems. More specifically, we seek to observe if the string diagram representation can elucidate interesting properties of robot manipulator program plans in a manufacturing work cell. This code uses the WiringDiagram <u>Catlab</u> Julia library to construct the string diagrams. In these examples, the objects are considered to be Boolean expressions and the arrows, or morphisms, are the PDDL actions.

```
In [1305]: 1 # SOFTWARE PRE-REQ
2 #
3 # Julia 1.3.1
4 # Catlab 0.5.3
5 # Latex
6
7 using Catlab.WiringDiagrams
using Catlab.Doctrines
9 using Catlab.Doctrines
10
11 using Catlab.Graphics
12 import Catlab.Graphics: Graphviz
13
14 import TikzPictures
15 using Catlab.Graphics
```

Process PDDL Files and PDDL solution

To run this notebook, you must provide the name of directory (in examples/) containing domain.pddl, problem.pddl, and solution.txt in the EXAMPLE variable (above), then run all cells. The composed string diagram is shown as the output of the last cell. It can also be seen as an SVG in smc.dot.svg.

About files

The domain.pddl and problem.pddl files must adhere to PDDL specifications following the :strips requirement.

The solution.txt file should be a newline for each action with parameters provided by a PDDL planner of choice. An example is shown below:

move lochome locbox2 pick boxa locbox2 grippera drop boxa locbox2 grippera

One possible way to obtain a PDDL solution is to run PDDL4j solver, using



https://github.com/AlgebraicJulia/Catlab.jl



In [1306]: 1 EXAMPLE = "blocksworld";

Next Steps

• Functors (maps between categories)

- Relate composition of actions in domain specific language (e.g. PDDL) to conceptual models of plans
- Relate symbolic plans to geometric plans

Visualization

- Sliding boxes along strings to view alternative plans.
- Scale the length of the strings or the height of the boxes according to some solver metadata, such as cost, or a real-world parameter, such as time to execute.
- Interactions such as highlighting the strings of a particular literal in order to witness its path through the plan





A Graphical Model-Based Representation for PDDL Plans using Category Theory

Explainable AI Planning (XAIP) Workshop – Paper 9



Thank you for listening!

Angeline Aguinaldo Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park aaguinal@cs.umd.edu

